

End Our Cladding Scandal

The crisis in numbers

Unsafe buildings

- **291** tall buildings (above 18m) with unsafe Aluminium Composite Material (ACM) cladding systems the material used on Grenfell are yet to complete remediation work. These blocks include 23,600 flats with an estimated **56,000** residents. A total of **117** have not yet even started work.¹
- In addition, there are 2,957 other high-rise buildings that have registered for funding to remove dangerous cladding of other kinds, containing an estimated 700,000 residents.
 Government funding will cover between 400 and 600 of these buildings.²
- It is not known how many medium rise buildings (11m 18m) are affected, but there are an estimated 100,000 such buildings nationwide. If the same proportion of medium-rise as high-rise buildings were affected, this would mean **28,300** medium-rise buildings have dangerous cladding systems. This is likely to be an underestimate, due to the historical lack of restrictions on combustible materials below this height.³

Unsellable buildings

 Government Advice Notes mean mortgage lenders now require an 'EWS1' form to be completed assuring that the façade of a building is fire-safe ahead of a mortgage being issued. Despite being introduced only for buildings over 18m, this is being applied to any building above 11m, by lenders. An estimated 1.5m flats with 3.6m residents are unsellable as a result.⁴

¹ MHCLG Building Safety Programme: Monthly Data Release, July 2020

² https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/thousands-of-families-trapped-as-unsafe-flats-paralyse-property-market-k6nwlhdrp

³ https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/news/more-than-100000-medium-rise-buildings-outside-scope-of-fire-safety-measures-minutes-reveal-64231

⁴ https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/thousands-of-families-trapped-as-unsafe-flats-paralyse-property-market-k6nwlhdrp

- There are only **291** fire engineers qualified to carry out these assessments.⁵ However, this problem is even greater: many do not have the requisite professional insurance necessary to sign off a building as safe.⁶
- As a result, there is currently a severe logjam with some leaseholders told they may have to wait up to **10 years** for the necessary assessments to be carried out. During this time, they are unable to move.
- Even where assessments are carried out, in around 90% of instances remediation work is required, meaning the form is only the start of a lengthy road to making the building safe.⁸

Costs to Leaseholders

- Leaseholders face costs to repair fire safety defects, that are not their fault, which are regularly more than £20,000 and, in the worst instance so far reported, reach £115,000 per flat.⁹ In many instances, leaseholders have already been forced into paying these fees or risk forfeiting their homes.
- Government's own "very rough estimates" mean the funding of £1bn would only be enough
 to cover fewer than 600 buildings with unsafe non-ACM cladding.¹⁰ The funding will be
 allocated on a 'first come, first served' basis not any assessment of risk or need. In many
 other buildings, residents would be forced to pay for this themselves
- The total cost of rectifying wider fire safety defects extending beyond cladding has been estimated at £15bn a figure which will either be billed to leaseholders or be taken out of social housing providers' budgets for new build or repair without government intervention.¹¹ The most likely result is that much of the work will simply not be completed and our homes will remain unsafe.
- Leaseholders face crippling costs for interim measures while they are waiting for alarms to be installed or, in some cases, the cladding remediation to begin. The cost of a '24-hour waking watch', which is imposed by regional fire services due to Fire Chiefs' guidance, can be up to £840 per month per leaseholder. Meanwhile, home insurance costs have soared by up to 1,200% in some cases due to the risks associated with living in the building.

 $^{^{5} \, \}underline{\text{https://www.parliament.uk/business/publications/written-questions-answers-statements/written-question/Commons/2020-07-16/75346/}$

 $^{^6}$ <u>https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/insight/insight/why-the-attempt-to-fix-the-cladding-and-mortgage-crisis-is-not-working-65112</u>

⁷ https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/news/leaseholders-could-be-trapped-in-homes-for-years-as-housing-associations-struggle-to-cope-with-scale-of-ews-crisis-67331

⁸ https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/news/ews-crisis-nine-in-10-ews-checked-blocks-require-remediation-work-67821

⁹ https://www.theguardian.com/money/2020/jul/17/leaseholders-billed-up-to-115000-each-to-remove-grenfell-style-cladding

¹⁰ https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmcomloc/172/17207.htm# idTextAnchor072,

¹¹ https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmcomloc/172/17207.htm# idTextAnchor072

¹² https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/insight/insight/waking-watches-the-scale-of-englands-building-safety-crisis-unveiled-65882

¹³ <u>https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/news/birmingham-high-rise-with-fire-safety-issues-faces-1237-insurance-premium-hike-66215</u>

Mental health

• A survey carried out by, resident group, UKCAG exposed the shocking mental health impacts this crisis is having on all residents of unsafe blocks: **90%** of residents said their mental health had deteriorated, **27%** had a formal diagnosis of a mental health problem, **14.5%** had suicidal thoughts and **8%** had been pushed to self-harm.¹⁴

 $^{14}\,\underline{\text{https://www.insidehousing.co.uk/news/news/one-in-five-leaseholders-trapped-by-dangerous-cladding-have-thought-of-suicide-or-self-harm-survey-reveals-66735}$